Algorithmic Game Theory Algorithmische Spieltheorie Pingo Wintersemester 2022/2023

Dozent: Prof. Dr. J. Rothe

hhu.

Website

https://pingo.coactum.de/

Pingo

Access Number: 885317



© Titanic Verlag

Consider the weighted voting game G = (2, 2, 2; 4). In terms of the normalized Banzhaf index, is splitting into two players of equal weight for, say, the third player ...

- A ... beneficial?
- B ... neutral?
- C ... disadvantageous?

Consider the weighted voting game G = (2, 2, 2; 5). In terms of the normalized Banzhaf index, is splitting into two players of equal weight for, say, the third player ...

- A ... beneficial?
- B ... neutral?
- C ... disadvantageous?

Consider the weighted voting game G = (2, 2, 2; 6). In terms of the normalized Banzhaf index, is splitting into two players of equal weight for, say, the third player ...

- A ... beneficial?
- B ... neutral?
- C ... disadvantageous?

In all examples presented so far, weight-splitting had the same effect on the Shapley–Shubik index and the normalized Banzhaf index of the manipulator.

Is this is always the case?

- A Yes
- B No
- C __(ö)_/_

Answer 4

In all examples presented so far, weight-splitting had the same effect on the Shapley–Shubik index and the normalized Banzhaf index of the manipulator.

Is this is always the case?

- A Yes
- **B** No
- C __(ö)_/_

Example (Aziz, Bachrach, Elkind, Paterson, 2011) Consider the WVG G = (2, 1, 1, 1, 1; 5).

Example (Aziz, Bachrach, Elkind, Paterson, 2011) Consider the WVG G = (2, 1, 1, 1, 1; 5).

In this game, the first player is pivotal for a permutation if he appears in the last or second-to-last position, but not in earlier positions.

Example (Aziz, Bachrach, Elkind, Paterson, 2011) Consider the WVG G = (2, 1, 1, 1, 1; 5).

In this game, the first player is pivotal for a permutation if he appears in the last or second-to-last position, but not in earlier positions.

Thus, his Shapley–Shubik index is $\frac{2}{5}$.

Example (Aziz, Bachrach, Elkind, Paterson, 2011) Consider the WVG G = (2, 1, 1, 1, 1; 5).

In this game, the first player is pivotal for a permutation if he appears in the last or second-to-last position, but not in earlier positions.

Thus, his Shapley–Shubik index is $\frac{2}{5}$.

Further, this player is pivotal for any coalition that contains three or four players of weight 1, i.e., for 5 coalitions.

Example (Aziz, Bachrach, Elkind, Paterson, 2011) Consider the WVG G = (2, 1, 1, 1, 1; 5).

In this game, the first player is pivotal for a permutation if he appears in the last or second-to-last position, but not in earlier positions.

Thus, his Shapley–Shubik index is $\frac{2}{5}$.

Further, this player is pivotal for any coalition that contains three or four players of weight 1, i.e., for 5 coalitions.

On the other hand, any player of weight 1 is pivotal for any coalition that contains the player of weight 2 as well as any two other players of weight 1, i.e., for 3 coalitions.

Example (Aziz, Bachrach, Elkind, Paterson, 2011; continued) Thus the normalized Banzhaf index of the first player is given by

$$\frac{5}{5+4\cdot 3} = \frac{5}{17}.$$

Example (Aziz, Bachrach, Elkind, Paterson, 2011; continued) Thus the normalized Banzhaf index of the first player is given by

$$\frac{5}{5+4\cdot 3}=\frac{5}{17}.$$

It remains to observe that, after splitting the first player into two new players, we have for G' = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1; 5):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \displaystyle \frac{2}{5} & > & \displaystyle \frac{1}{3} = \operatorname{SSI}(G',1) + \operatorname{SSI}(G',2) & \text{but} \\ \displaystyle \frac{5}{17} & < & \displaystyle \frac{1}{3} = \overline{\operatorname{BI}}(G',1) + \overline{\operatorname{BI}}(G',2). \end{array}$$

Consider the weighted voting game G = (2, 2, 1, 1; 4). In terms of the probabilistic Banzhaf index, is merging the last two players into one third player (yielding G' = (2, 2, 2; 4)) ...

- A ... beneficial?
- B ... neutral?
- C ... disadvantageous?

Consider the weighted voting game G = (2, 2, 1, 1; 5). In terms of the probabilistic Banzhaf index, is merging the last two players into one third player (yielding G' = (2, 2, 2; 5)) ...

- A ... beneficial?
- B ... neutral?
- C ... disadvantageous?

Consider the weighted voting game G = (2, 2, 1, 1; 6). In terms of the probabilistic Banzhaf index, is merging the last two players into one third player (yielding G' = (2, 2, 2; 6)) ...

- A ... beneficial?
- B ... neutral?
- C ... disadvantageous?

Is merging two players always neutral in terms of the probabilistic Banzhaf index?

- A Yes
- B No
- C __(ö)_/_