Algorithmic Game Theory Algorithmische Spieltheorie Pingo Wintersemester 2022/2023

Dozent: Prof. Dr. J. Rothe

hhu.

Website

https://pingo.coactum.de/

Pingo

Access Number: 885317



© Titanic Verlag

J. Rothe (HHU Düsseldorf)

Pingo

		Belle	
		Shopping	Stopping
Anna	Shopping	(7,3)	(2,4)
	Stopping	(4,2)	(1,1)

- A There is a strict Nash equilibrium in pure strategies.
- B There are exactly two Nash equilibria in pure strategies.
- C There are exactly three Nash equilibria in pure strategies.
- D There are exactly four Nash equilibria in pure strategies.

Pingo

		Belle	
		Shopping	Stopping
Anna	Shopping	(7,3)	(2,4)
	Stopping	(4,2)	(1,1)

- A Anna has a dominant strategy.
- B Belle has a dominant strategy.
- C Anna has a strictly dominant strategy.
- D Belle has a strictly dominant strategy.

		Belle	
		Shopping	Stopping
٨٥٥٥	Shopping	(7,3)	(2,4)
Anna	Stopping	(4,2) (1	(1,1)

- A Exactly one strategy profile is Pareto-optimal.
- B Exactly two strategy profiles are Pareto-optimal.
- C Exactly three strategy profiles are Pareto-optimal.
- D All four strategy profiles are Pareto-optimal.

Which of the following claims are true?

- A Every strict Nash equilibrium in pure strategies is a profile of strictly dominant strategies.
- B Every profile of strictly dominant strategies is a strict Nash equilibrium in pure strategies.
- C Every Pareto-optimal profile contains only dominant strategies.
- D There is a two-player normalform game with two strategies per player that has a strict Nash equilibrium in pure strategies but no dominant strategies.





This reminds me of ...

- A ... the prisoners' dilemma.
- B ... the battle of the sexes.
- C ... the chicken game.
- D ... the penalty game.

Pingo

		Belle	
		Crossing	Stopping
Anna	Crossing	(-100, -100)	(1,0)
	Stopping	(0,1)	(0,0)

- A There is a strict Nash equilibrium in pure strategies.
- B There are exactly two Nash equilibria in pure strategies.
- C There are exactly three Nash equilibria in pure strategies.
- D There are exactly four Nash equilibria in pure strategies.



		Belle	
		Cross	Stop
Anna Cros	Cross	(-100, -100)	(1,0)
	Stop	(0,1)	(0,0)

Which of the following claims are true?

In addition to the two Nash equilibria in pure strategies, ...

- A ... there is no Nash equilibrium in mixed strategies.
- B ... there is exactly one Nash equilibrium in mixed strategies.
- C ... there are exactly two Nash equilibria in mixed strategies.
- D ... there are exactly four Nash equilibria in mixed strategies.



Which of the following walks are correct according to the proof of Sperner's lemma?

